

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/632,403	KUMAR ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		KAMAL B. DIVECHA	2451

All Participants:

(1) KAMAL B. DIVECHA.

(2) TOAN TRAN reg. #54,942.

Date of Interview: 30 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 12, 14

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/KAMAL B DIVECHA/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2451

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to expedite the prosecution, a telephone interview was initiated by the examiner in order to discuss the examiner's proposed amendments (See attached). Based on the examiner's amendments, applicant proposed alternative amendments (see attached). The amendments were briefly discussed and were deemed allowable over the prior art..